IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

TERRELL ADOLFUS THORNE,

Petitioner,

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:11-CV-22 CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 3:09-CR-84-1 (BAILEY)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert. By Local Rule, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Seibert for submission of a report and recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Seibert filed his R & R on December 13, 2011 [Crim. Doc. 75 / Civ. Doc. 9]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court deny the § 2255 petition [Crim. Doc. 61 / Civ. Doc. 1] and to dismiss the same.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo*

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). This Court's docket reflects service was accepted on December 16,

2011 [Crim. Doc. 76 / Civ. Doc. 10]. Magistrate Judge Seibert, however, instructed the

parties to file any objections to the R&R by <u>December 27, 2011</u>. To date, no objections

have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the report and recommendation for

clear error.

Upon careful review of the report and recommendation, it is the opinion of this Court

that the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation [Crim. Doc. 75 / Civ. Doc. 9]

should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the

magistrate judge's report. As such, this Court hereby **DENIES** and **DISMISSES** the

petitioner's § 2255 petition [Crim. Doc. 61 / Civ. Doc. 1]. Therefore, this matter is hereby

ORDERED STRICKEN from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record and

to mail a copy to the pro se petitioner.

DATED: December 29, 2011.

JOHN PRESTON BAILEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE